

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,466	04/06/2001	Frederick Schuessler	7157-291	6160	
23720	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
	S, MORGAN & AMER	FUREMA	FUREMAN, JARED		
10333 RICH HOUSTON,	MOND, SUITE 1100 TX 77042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110051011,	1X 77042		2876		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
$\sim$	
\	
- FC	١
1 \	į
Λ	
•	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/827,466	SCHUESSLER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jared J. Fureman	2876			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared J. Fureman	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		55.5.7,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-6,9-11,13,14,18-20,23-25,28-30,33</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .	3,37,38,116 and 131.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.  12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			•
13.   ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(. 15/05/00 011 10-1449) Fapel N	O(3)	
		Janes J. Tunn Jared J. Fureman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876	em

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Wilz, Sr. et al, Hudetz et al, and Bianco references meet the claimed limitations.

The examiner maintains that a terminal ID or network address is required for the terminal 26 to communicate with the base station/service provider 4. The service provider 4 must be aware of the terminal 26 in order to indicate to the base station which terminal 26 to relay information to.

The office action relies upon Wilz, Sr et al to teach the remote device receiving bar code information, and relies upon Hudetz et al to teach maintaining a database of bar codes and destination information and identifying a portion of the destination information based on a portion of received bar code information. The examiner regrets if the office action is confusing regarding this point. Furthermore, Hudetz et al teaches that the motivation for replacing a bar coded URL (as taught by Wilz, Sr et al) with a database of bar codes and related URL's (destination information) is to allow the use of shorter bar codes and allow the change of URL's or network addresses without the need to update the bar codes (see column 3, lines 1-37 and column 3, line 58 - column 4, line 31, of Hudetz et al).

The examiner maintains that Bianco teaches allowing access only if a bar code is encrypted. For example, in a security application, the bar code must be encrypted using a unique symbology and a special bar code reader must be used (see, for example, column 2, lines 57-62, of Bianco). For example, if a user attempted to use a standard bar code to access a secure application, access would not be granted since the bar code was not encrypted with the unique symbology.

O'Hagan et al (US 6,595,417) is being cited as evidence that it was old and well known to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to require a personal identification number to be entered into a terminal in order to allow use of the terminal/network (see at least figure 13 and column 16, lines 7-21).

Bayrakeri (US 6,185,602) is being as evidence that it was old and well known to those of ordinary skill in the art to allow users to communicate with each other through an Internet web page or chat room (see at least column 1, lines 31-37).

Continuation of 13. Other: Upon appeal, amended claim 131 will be rejected in the same manner as set forth in the final office action mailed on 7/25/2005. Claims 1,4-6,9-11,13,14,18-20,23-25,28-30,33,37,38,116 and 131 remain rejected as set forth in the final office action mailed on 7/25/2005. See attached PTO-892.